1	Spatiotemporal consistency of four gross primary production products and
2	solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence in response to climate extremes
3	across CONUS in 2012
4	Xiaocui Wu ¹ , Xiangming Xiao ^{1,2,*} , Yao Zhang ¹ , Wei He ^{3,4} , Sebastian Wolf ⁵ , Jiquan
5	Chen ⁶ , Mingzhu He ⁷ , Christopher M. Gough ⁸ , Yuanwei Qin ¹ , Yanlian Zhou ⁹ , Russell
6	Doughty ¹ , Peter D. Blanken ¹⁰
7	1. Department of Microbiology and Plant Biology, Center for Spatial Analysis, University of
8	Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA
9	2. Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering,
10	Institute of Biodiversity Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.
11	3. International Institute for Earth System Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu
12	210023, China
13	4. Center for Isotope Research (CIO), Energy and Sustainability Research Institute
14	Groningen (ESRIG), University of Groningen, Groningen, 9747 AG, The Netherlands
15	5. Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
16	6. Center for Global Change & Earth Observations (CGCEO), Michigan State University,
17	East Lansing, MI 48823, USA
18	7. Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group, College of Forestry & Conservation,
19	University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA
20	8. Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Biology, 1000 W. Cary Street,
21	Richmond, VA 23284-2012, USA
22	9. Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science and Technology,
23	School of Geographic and Oceanographic Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China
24	10. Department of Geography, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA

25 Correspondence author: Xiangming Xiao (xiangming.xiao@ou.edu)

26 Key Points:

- The use of a C₃/C₄ fraction map derived from Cropland Data Layer (CDL) improves
 gross primary production (GPP) estimates over croplands
- 29 GPP estimates by Vegetation Photosynthesis Model are highly correlated with solar-
- 30 induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF)
- Increased GPP in the warm spring offset the reduced GPP by summer drought in
 2012 over CONUS

Acc

33	Abstract: Large spatial-scale effects of climate extremes on gross primary production (GPP),
34	the largest terrestrial carbon flux, are highly uncertain even as these extremes increase in
35	frequency and extent. Here we report the impacts of spring warming and summer drought in
36	2012 on GPP across the contiguous US (CONUS) using estimates from four GPP models:
37	Vegetation Photosynthesis Model (VPM), MOD17A2H V006, Carnegie-Ames-Stanford
38	Approach (CASA), and Simple Biosphere/Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach (SiBCASA).
39	VPM simulations are driven by Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS),
40	North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) climate data, and C_3 and C_4 cropland maps
41	from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Cropland Data Layer (CDL)
42	dataset. Across 25 eddy covariance flux tower sites, GPP estimates from VPM (GPP _{VPM})
43	showed better accuracy in terms of cross-site variability and interannual variability ($R^2 = 0.84$
44	and 0.46, respectively) when compared to MOD17 GPP. We further assessed the spatial and
45	temporal (seasonal) consistency between GPP products and the Global Ozone Monitoring
46	Experiment-2 (GOME-2) solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) over CONUS during
47	2008-2014. The results suggested that GPP_{VPM} agrees best with SIF across space and time,
48	capturing seasonal dynamics and interannual variations. Anomaly analyses showed that
49	increased GPP during the spring compensated for the reduced GPP during the summer,
50	resulting in near-neutral changes in annual GPP for the CONUS. This study demonstrates the
51	importance of assessing the impacts of different types and timing of climate extremes on GPP,
52	and the need to improve light use efficiency models by incorporating C_3 and C_4 plant
53	functional types.

54 Key words: gross primary production; C₃ and C₄ cropland; solar-induced chlorophyll
55 fluorescence; Vegetation Photosynthesis Model; spring warming; drought

56 1. Introduction

Terrestrial ecosystems play a major role in the global carbon cycle, offsetting 57 58 approximately 25-30% of the CO₂ emitted by human activities since the 1950s (Le Quéré et al. 2009). Gross primary production (GPP), the amount of CO₂ sequestered by vegetation 59 through photosynthetic assimilation before accounting for respiratory losses, is the largest 60 61 component of the global terrestrial carbon flux (Beer et al. 2010). Therefore, a small 62 fluctuation in GPP could have significant impact on atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. 63 However, the composition, structure, and functioning of terrestrial ecosystems are expected 64 to be substantially altered by increases in the duration or/and frequency of climate extremes such as droughts, heatwaves, or intense precipitation events (Frank et al. 2015). It is a major 65 challenge to understand and project the response of terrestrial ecosystems to climate extremes 66 (Reichstein et al. 2013). In particular, droughts, together with the frequently co-occurring 67 heatwaves, are among the most widespread natural disasters, and could have large impacts on 68 69 annual GPP, ecosystem respiration, and net carbon balance (Frank et al. 2015; van der Molen et al. 2011). 70

71 The impacts of climate extremes, especially heatwaves and droughts, on GPP have been thoroughly investigated for selected events (Ciais et al. 2005; Parazoo et al. 2015; Wolf 72 et al. 2016; Yuan et al. 2016). However, how climate extremes affect the carbon cycle is still 73 poorly known at the landscape, regional, and global scales (Pan and Schimel 2016). To 74 75 investigate the impacts of climate extremes on GPP at ecosystem and landscape scales, three approaches have been separately or jointly applied: eddy covariance (EC) flux tower 76 77 measurements (von Buttlar et al. 2017; Welp et al. 2007), remote-sensing data (Hilker et al. 2014), and biogeochemical models (Zscheischler et al. 2014). Since the 1990s, the EC flux 78 tower method has provided directly observed evidence for the seasonal changes of terrestrial 79

80	carbon fluxes, which increases our understanding of the underlying mechanisms of terrestrial
81	ecosystem responses and their feedbacks to climate extremes at the site scale (Reichstein et al.
82	2007). However, in-situ EC sites are limited by their relatively moderate-size footprints of
83	observation and the number and distribution of FLUXNET sites are limited, making it
84	difficult to assess the impacts of climate extremes on the carbon cycle at regional, continental,
85	and global scales. The GPP data derived from eddy covariance flux towers (GPP_{EC}), though
86	limited in their spatial coverage, are currently the best available data to validate GPP
87	estimates from process-based and data-driven GPP models. In contrast, optical and
88	microwave remote-sensing data provide larger scale insights into the vegetation structure,
89	including leaf area index, and light absorption by canopy (Chen 1996; Disney et al. 2006;
90	Ollinger 2011). Recently, solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) data have been
91	derived from satellite-based observations to estimate GPP, as it is tightly linked with
92	photosynthesis (Frankenberg et al. 2011; Porcar-Castell et al. 2014). However, SIF has a very
93	weak signal and accounts for about 2% of the total light absorbed by vegetation. Satellite
94	retrieved SIF measurements have comparatively large amounts of noise, and the recent SIF
95	data products are often aggregated in temporal and spatial domains resulting in a coarse
96	spatial and temporal resolution (monthly, $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ for Global Ozone Monitoring Instrument
97	2, GOME-2) (Joiner et al. 2013). The coarse spatial resolution of SIF data products limits its
98	application because 0.5° gridcells (~50 km at Equator) are often highly heterogeneous. A
99	final approach uses terrestrial biosphere models to estimate GPP and ecosystem respiration
100	for a variety of ecosystems at multiple scales. However, the reliability of these models is
101	constrained by input datasets, model parameters, and model structures (Schaefer et al. 2012;
102	Schwalm et al. 2010). Hence, a synthesis and comparison of the different approaches can
103	reveal the shortcomings of individual approaches, and help to reach a more reliable

105 Schimel 2016).

106 In 2012, the Contiguous United States (CONUS) experienced an abnormally warm spring and dry summer (Hoerling et al. 2014; Knutson et al. 2013). Record-breaking 107 temperatures were observed across 34 states during spring and a severe summer drought 108 followed, especially across the Great Plains and the Midwest Corn Belt. The 2012 US 109 110 drought was reported as one of the worst droughts since 1988 and had a comparable 111 magnitude and spatial extent of those during the 1930s and 1950s (Hoerling et al. 2014; 112 Rippey 2015). Impacts of this spring warming and summer droughts on terrestrial carbon fluxes in CONUS have been investigated, using the data from eddy covariance flux tower 113 114 sites, GPP from the MOD17 data product, and net ecosystem production (NEP) from CarbonTracker (CTE2014 and CTE2015) (Wolf et al. 2016). They found that the losses of 115 NEP in the summer were offset by an unusually large increase of NEP in spring, resulting in 116 a small gain of annual NEP over CONUS (0.11 Pg C). They also reported that the decrease in 117 GPP during summer was much larger than the increase of spring GPP, resulting in a moderate 118 loss of annual GPP (-0.38 Pg C) over CONUS in 2012. However, there are large uncertainties 119 among the various GPP products (Schaefer et al. 2012); for example, the MOD17 GPP 120 product has large uncertainties in croplands (Turner et al. 2006; Xin et al. 2015). Therefore, 121 there is a need to evaluate various GPP models and their GPP data products, which will help 122 123 us to better understand and assess GPP responses to spring warming and summer drought in 124 2012.

assessment of the multiple-scale responses of ecosystems to climate extremes (Pan and

In this study, we analyzed GPP data products from four GPP models: (1) the
Vegetation Photosynthesis Model (VPM) (Xiao et al. 2004a; Xiao et al. 2004b), which has
been well validated at both site (Dong et al. 2015; Doughty et al. 2018; Jin et al. 2013; Wagle

128	et al. 2015) and regional scales (Zhang et al. 2016a; Zhang et al. 2017) in previous studies. In
129	this study, we modified the model for cropland by separating C_3 and C_4 crops with detailed
130	Cropland Data Layer data; (2) MOD17 (Running et al. 2004), which is also used to evaluate
131	the 2012 spring warming and summer drought impact on GPP in Wolf et al. (2016); (3)
132	SiBCASA-GFED4 (van der Velde et al. 2014), and (4) CASA-GFED3 (van der Werf et al.
133	2006; van der Werf et al. 2010). SiBCASA-GFED4 and CASA-GFED3 models are biosphere
134	models used in CarbonTracker Europe (CTE2014) (van der Laan-Luijkx et al. 2017) and
135	CarbonTracker (CT2014) (Peters et al. 2007), respectively, which provided the prior
136	biosphere carbon fluxes (NEP, GPP - Respiration) in the two carbon tracker systems. We
137	evaluated the GPP estimations from the four datasets with in-situ GPP data from eddy
138	covariance flux tower sites and SIF data from GOME-2. The objectives of this study are
139	threefold: (1) to demonstrate the potential of differentiating C_3 and C_4 croplands for
140	improving GPP estimates (using VPM as an example) and validate the GPP estimates against
141	FLUXNET data; (2) to quantify and understand the spatial-temporal consistency of GOME-2
142	SIF data and GPP estimates from various models; and (3) to assess the impacts of spring
143	warming and summer drought on GPP at the pixel, biome, and continental scales.

144 **2. Materials and Methods**

145 2.1 Vegetation Photosynthesis Model (VPM)

We used the VPM model (Xiao et al. 2004a; Xiao et al. 2004b) to estimate GPP from 2008 to 2014 over CONUS. We followed the original model framework but further differentiated between C_3 and C_4 croplands, since C_3 and C_4 crops have different maximum light use efficiencies (ε_{max}). The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) Cropland Data Layers (CDL) from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) were used to calculate the area percentages of C_3 and C_4 croplands within each 500 m pixel over individual 152 years (Boryan et al. 2011). According to the USDA report, the major C_4 crop-types included

153 corn, sorghum, sugarcane, and millet, and other crop-types were considered as C_3 croplands.

154 The GPP of each pixel was estimated by area-weighted averaged GPP (Equation 1), which

155 was derived from area fraction maps of C_3 and C_4 croplands and MCD12Q1 land use datasets:

156
$$GPP = [(fC_3 \times \varepsilon_{max-C3} + fC_4 \times \varepsilon_{max-C4}) \times T_{scalar} \times W_{scalar}] \times APAR_{chl}$$
(1)

157 where fC_3 and fC_4 were the area fraction of C_3 and C_4 crops inside each cropland pixel,

158 respectively. $APAR_{chl}$ is photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) absorbed by chlorophyll in

the canopy and is estimated from enhanced vegetation index (EVI) (Huete et al. 1997) asfollowing:

$$APAR_chl = 1.25 \times (EVI - 0.1)$$
⁽²⁾

162 This equation was modified from the previous model version (Xiao et al. 2004a; Xiao 163 et al. 2004b), and has been applied in generating a global GPP product (Zhang et al. 2017). 164 The coefficients 0.1 and 1.25 were used to adjust for sparsely vegetated or barren land and 165 have been evaluated using the solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data.

The maximum light used efficiency values for C₃ croplands (ε_{max-C3}) and C₄ croplands (ε_{max-C4}) were specified as 0.035 mol CO₂ mol⁻¹ PAR (~1.8 g C m⁻² day⁻¹ MJ⁻¹ PAR), and 0.053 mol CO₂ mol⁻¹ (PAR) (~ 2.7 g C m⁻² day⁻¹ MJ⁻¹ (PAR)) (1.5 times larger than C₃ types), respectively (Li et al. 2013). *T_{scalar}* and *W_{scalar}* are the temperature and water regulation factor and calculated as:

$$T_{scalar} = \frac{(T - T_{min})(T - T_{max})}{\left[(T - T_{min})(T - T_{max})\right] - (T - T_{opt})^2}$$
(3)

 $W_{scalar} = \frac{1 + LSWI}{1 + LSWI_{max}} \tag{4}$

171

173 where T is the air temperature, derived from the NCEP/NARR climate data. T_{min} , T_{max} , and

 T_{opt} represent the minimum, maximum, and optimum temperatures for photosynthesis, 174

respectively, which are biome-specific and assigned values as in Zhang et al. (2016a). 175

 $LSWI_{max}$ is the maximum land surface water index within the plant growing season, and we 176 applied a temporal smoothing method using nearby four years (two years before and two years 177 after) to eliminate potential bias (Zhang et al. 2017). 178

2.2 Input datasets for VPM simulations in CONUS during 2008–2014 179

Regional simulations of VPM model require climate, vegetation indices, and land 180 cover data. Here we briefly describe the input datasets used: (1) NCEP/NARR reanalysis 181 182 meteorological data, (2) MODIS surface reflectance and land cover data, and (3) NASS CDL data. 183

184

2.2.1 NCEP/NARR climate data

185 The NCEP/NARR data was downloaded from (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd). It contains meteorological variables such as air temperature, precipitation, and downward 186 shortwave radiation from 1979 to present at a spatial resolution of 32 km and a temporal 187 resolution of 3 hours. The original 3-hourly NARR data were aggregated into daily data by 188 calculating the maximum, mean, and minimum air temperature in a day (°C), and the 189 cumulative sum of downward shortwave radiation in a day. The resulting daily data were 190 191 further aggregated to 8-day intervals (following the MODIS 8-day temporal resolution) by calculating the maximum, mean, and minimum temperature (°C), and the cumulative sum of 192 downward shortwave radiation within an 8-day period. We also interpolated these climate 193 194 variables (32-km spatial resolution) to 500-m using the same algorithm reported in a previous publication (Zhang et al. 2016a). As previous studies have shown, the NARR downward 195

- 196 shortwave radiation is systematically overestimated, so we adjusted it by applying a
- 197 correction factor of 0.8 as proposed in a previous study (Jin et al. 2015).

198 2.2.2 MODIS surface reflectance and land cover product

- The latest version of MODIS surface reflectance product, MOD09A1 V006, was used 199
- to calculate EVI (Huete et al. 1997) and Land Surface Water Index (LSWI) (Xiao et al. 200
- 201 2004b). A temporal algorithm was applied to EVI to gap-fill the missing data or bad-quality 202 data (Zhang et al. 2016a).
- The MODIS land cover product (MCD12Q1 V005) provides annual global maps of 203
- land cover at 500-m spatial resolution during 2001-2013 (Friedl et al. 2010). We used the 204
- MCD12Q1 data at 2013 to represent year 2014. The IGBP land cover classification scheme 205
- 206 in the MCD12Q1 is used in this study (see Fig. 1a). The IGBP land cover map was then used
- to derive biome-specific model parameter information for VPM simulations. 207
- 2.2.3 USDA NASS CDL dataset 208

209 Annual national CDL datasets with a spatial resolution of 30-m were available for our study period (2008–2014) 210

(https://www.nass.usda.gov/Research and Science/Cropland/SARS1a.php). The CDL 211

- 212 datasets contain over 100 cropland types, and have very high classification accuracies for
- most crops (over 90% accuracy for major crop types such as soybean and corn) (Boryan et al. 213
- 2011). For the VPM simulations, annual CDL datasets in 2008–2014 were aggregated to 214
- generate data layers at 500-m spatial resolution that represent the ratio of C₃ and C₄ 215
- vegetation within individual 500-m gridcells for each year (Fig. 1b). The C₄ cropland layer 216
- included corn, sorghum, sugarcane, and millet, and all other crops were C₃. 217

218 **2.3 Evaluation of GPP estimates during 2010–2014 from VPM**

219 **2.3.1 GPP data from eddy covariance flux tower sites**

220	Eddy covariance data from the FLUXNET2015 dataset were used to assess GPP _{VPM} .
221	We used 25 FLUXNET sites across CONUS according to their data availability during 2008–
222	2014, for which a summary about these sites is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1a. The
223	FLUXNET2015 dataset used a standard workflow to process the data from the eddy
224	covariance flux tower sites (<u>http://fluxnet.fluxdata.org/data/</u>). The net ecosystem exchange
225	(NEE) of CO_2 between ecosystems and the atmosphere was gap-filled and then partitioned
226	into GPP and ecosystem respiration (ER) using two methods, the nighttime based and the
227	daytime based approaches (Lasslop et al. 2010; Reichstein et al. 2005). We calculated
228	average daily GPP_{EC} as the average of daily GPP estimated by the two methods. Then, we
229	calculated 8-day average GPP_{EC} by aggregating the average daily GPPs. For each 8-day
230	interval, only the shortwave radiation and net ecosystem exchange (NEE) observations with
231	more than 75% of good quality, gap-filled data were kept.

232

Accept

Figure 1 and Table 1 is here

233	We evaluated the seasonal and cross-site performance of GPP _{VPM} across biomes at 8-
234	day and interannual scales. We classified the land cover maps into four major types: forest
235	(FOR), grassland (GRA), cropland (CRO), and others (OTH) based on the MCD12Q1
236	landcover data. The evergreen needleleaf forest, evergreen broadleaf forest, deciduous
237	broadleaf forest, decidous needleaf forest, and mixed forest were lumped together as forest.
238	Grassland and cropland were the same classification scheme as MCD12Q1, while all the
239	other land cover types, such as savannas, shrublands, wetlands, and sparsed vegetated area,
240	were considered as OTH. To examine the ability of the model to capture the interannual
241	variability of GPP, we compared the anomaly of annual GPP for GPP_{EC} and GPP_{VPM} .
242	Specifically, we compared GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{MOD17} to the anomaly between GPP_{EC} in each
243	site year and average GPP_{EC} over all the site years for each site. The slope, root mean square
244	error (RMSE), and R^2 of the regression models were used to evaluate the difference between
245	modeled and eddy-covariance derived GPP.

246 2.3.2 Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) data from the GOME-2

SIF is a very small amount of energy emitted by plants and has been demonstrated to 247 be highly correlated with GPP (Guanter et al. 2014; Wagle et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016a). In 248 this study, we used the monthly GOME-2 SIF data (V26) during 2008–2014 (Joiner et al. 249 2013). GOME-2 measurements are in the ultraviolet and visible part of the spectrum (240 -250 251 790 nm) with a high spectral resolution between 0.2 and 0.5 nm and with the footprint size of 80×40 km². SIF is retrieved using a principle component analysis method in the 734 to 758 252 253 nm spectral window which overlaps the second peak of the SIF emission. The retrievals are quality-filtered and aggregated into 0.5° grids and a monthly interval (Joiner et al. 2013). 254

255 **2.4 Inter-comparison of GPP estimates among VPM and other three models**

We compared GPP_{VPM} with the latest version of MOD17 GPP product (Running et al. 256 2004), MOD17A2H V006 (GPP_{MOD17}) at both site and regional scales. GPP_{MOD17} is estimated 257 at a spatial resolution of 500-m and a temporal resolution of 8-day, which matches the spatial 258 259 and temporal resolutions of GPP_{VPM}. MOD17 is also a LUE model and simulates GPP as the product of APAR_{canopy} and light use efficiency (ε_g). ε_g is determined by ε_{max} and scalars that 260 capture environmental limitations such as vapor pressure deficit and air temperature. ε_{max} 261 262 values are specific for different biome types (e.g., forest, shrub, grass, crop) (Running et al. 2004), but the product does not account for the differences of ε_{max} between C₃ and C₄ 263 croplands, and ε_{max} for croplands is substantially too low (Turner et al. 2006; Xin et al. 2015). 264 We also compared GPP_{VPM} with GPP simulated by CASA-GFED3 (GPP_{CASA}). CASA 265 estimates Net Primary Productivity (NPP) based on the light use efficiency method (Monteith 266 1972, 1977) and further estimates GPP with an assumption GPP = 2 * NPP. ε_{max} for 267 predicting NPP in CASA is set uniformly (0.55 g C MJ⁻¹ PAR) for different biomes (Potter et 268 al. 2012; Potter et al. 1993; Randerson et al. 1996). The CASA-GFED3 GPP product used a 269 calibrated ε_{max} for the Midwestern region, which was derived from crop yield observations, 270 271 meteorological data, and remotely sensed FPAR (Lobell et al. 2002), and thus corresponds with much higher GPP values (roughly 45%) over the Midwestern US (Hilton et al. 2015). 272 GPP_{CASA} is used to generate prior biogenic CO₂ fluxes for the CarbonTracker system (Peters 273 274 et al. 2007) at a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ}$ every 3 hour. We resampled the data into $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ and aggregated them into monthly values in this study. 275 The GPP estimates by the SiBCASA-GFED4 model (GPP_{SiBCASA}) (van der Velde et al. 276 277 2014) were also compared with regional GPP_{VPM}. GPP_{SiBCASA} is used to generate prior

- biogenic CO_2 fluxes in the Carbon Tracker Europe system (van der Laan-Luijkx et al. 2017).
- 279 SiBCASA combines the biophysical and GPP components from the Simple Biosphere model

280	(version 2.5) with the heterotrophic respiration (R_H) from CASA model, and calculates the
281	exchange of carbon, energy, and water at a temporal resolution of 10-min and at a spatial
282	resolution of 1°×1° (Schaefer et al. 2008; van der Velde et al. 2014). GPP is calculated for
283	both C_3 and C_4 plants by implementing a modified version of the C_3 enzyme kinetic model
284	(Farquhar et al. 1980) and the C_4 photosynthesis model (Collatz et al. 1992). The C_4
285	distribution map used in SiBCASA is a static map with the mean C4 fraction in global $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$
286	grids (Still et al. 2003). The aggregated monthly GPP _{SiBCASA} data is used for the comparison.
287	The impact of climate extremes on the GPP and SIF over the CONUS was evaluated
288	using the four GPP datasets and GOME-2 SIF data. The seasonal cycle and anomaly of
289	GPP _{VPM} , GPP _{MOD17} , GPP _{SiBCASA} , GPP _{CASA} and SIF in the year 2012 were compared to that in
290	the baseline year (the average of the year 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014). The uncertainty
291	range of the anomaly was calculated as the standard deviation (SD) of the anomaly between
292	2012 and selected different baselines. We randomly chose at least three years from the year
293	2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014 to calculate the baseline, so there are 16 options ($C_5^3 + C_5^4$
294	+ C_5^5). As GPP _{SiBCASA} , GPP _{CASA} and SIF datasets have a spatial resolution of $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$, both
295	GPP _{VPM} and GPP _{MOD17} datasets (500-m spatial resolution) were aggregated to $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$. The
296	SIF data ($0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$) was also aggregated to $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$. We then used the area-weighted
297	method to calculate annual total GPP (Pg C year ⁻¹) and average SIF over CONUS.

298 **3. Results**

299 **3.1 Seasonal dynamics and interannual variation of GPP at flux tower sites**

300 GPP_{VPM} agreed reasonably well with the seasonal dynamics and peak values of 301 GPP_{EC} at most sites (Fig. 2). The coefficients of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) varied from 0.32 (US-302 SRC) site to 0.96 (US-Ne2 and US-UMB). GPP_{VPM} showed very high accuracy for the 303 cropland sites relative to GPP_{MOD17} (see Fig. 2 and Table 1). At the US-Ne1 and US-Ne2

304	maize sites, the regression between GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{EC} show a high R ² value (> 0.95) and a
305	low RMSE value (< 2.0 g C m ⁻² day ⁻¹), while the regression between GPP _{MOD17} and GPP _{EC}
306	show a moderate R^2 value (~0.50) and a large RMSE value (7.0 g C m ⁻² dav ⁻¹) (Table 1).

307	At the 8-day scale, GPP_{VPM} agrees better with GPP_{EC} than does GPP_{MOD17} , and
308	GPP _{VPM} effectively captures the seasonal dynamics of GPP for all the four biomes (Fig. 3–a,
309	b). For croplands, $\text{GPP}_{\text{MOD17}}$ shows significant underestimation with a slope of 0.37 while
310	GPP _{VPM} presents only slight underestimation with a slope of 0.97. The improvement in
311	GPP_{VPM} is most prominent in these C ₄ cropland sites, such as US-Ne1 and US-Ne2 (Fig. 2
312	and Table 1), with peak value of GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{EC} in the growing season that are larger
313	than 20 g C m ⁻² day ⁻¹ , while that of GPP _{MOD17} is less than 10 g C m ⁻² day ⁻¹ . Across all 25 sites
314	GPP _{VPM} explains about 84% of the seasonal dynamics of GPP _{EC} with RMSE of 1.7 g C m^2
315	day ⁻¹ , while GPP _{MOD17} only explains only about 55% with a RMSE value of 2.6 g C m ⁻² day ⁻¹ .

Figure 2 is here

The interannual variation of GPP_{VPM} was best for croplands, followed by forest, grasslands, and other biomes (Fig. 3c). In addition, the anomaly of annual GPP_{VPM} in croplands, grasslands, and forest biomes has much higher consistency with GPP_{EC} than does GPP_{MOD17} (Fig. 3–c, d). In other biomes (5 sites), both GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{MOD17} had relatively low accuracy.

322

323

Figure 3 is here

324 3.2 Spatial-temporal consistency between model-based GPP and SIF over CONUS in 325 the baseline years and drought year 2012

326	We compared the spatial distribution of maximum monthly mean GPP (g C m^2 day ⁻¹)
327	from the four GPP products and annual maximum monthly mean SIF in the baseline year and
328	drought year 2012 at 1°×1° resolution (Fig.4 a–j). The maximum monthly mean GPP in 2008,
329	2009, 2010, 2013, and 2014 were used as baseline year. The three GPP products (GPP _{VPM} ,
330	GPP _{CASA} and GPP _{SiBCASA}) and SIF show the peak photosynthesis in the Mid-western corn-
331	belt region (Fig. 4a-j), which was consistent with the results reported by Hilton et al. (2017).
332	GPP _{MOD17} did not have such a spatial pattern for maximum monthly GPP because it did not
333	include higher photosynthetic capacity for C_4 vegetation as did the other three models (VPM,
334	CASA and SiBCASA). Compared to the baseline years, most of gridcells had lower GPP and
335	SIF values during the drought in 2012. The correlation analysis (Fig. 5a–d; Fig. 5e–h)
336	showed that the maximum monthly GPP_{VPM} and SIF have the strongest linear relationship,
337	followed by SIF/GPP _{SiBCASA} , SIF/GPP _{CASA} , and SIF/GPP _{MOD17} .

338

Figure 4 is here

339

For annual total GPP, all four GPP products showed very similar spatial patterns with 340 SIF, with relatively high annual GPP (> 1500 g C m⁻² year⁻¹) in the forested Southeastern US 341 and low annual GPP in the western regions where grasslands and deserts are dominant 342 (Fig .4k-t). In 2012, GPP_{VPM} had a decrease in the Midwestern corn-belt region and Great 343 Plains, and an increase in the eastern temperate forest region, which is consistent with the 344 spatial patterns of SIF. Annual GPP_{MOD17} had an obvious decrease in the Midwestern corn-345 belt area but a slight increase in the eastern forest area in 2012. Annual GPP_{SiBCASA} had no 346 347 significant differences between the baseline and drought year 2012. Annual GPP_{CASA} had



```
371
       types when comparing SIF with GPP<sub>EC</sub>, but it is more divergent when comparing SIF with
       modelled GPP because of the systematic GPP biases. The GPP-SIF slope for the four GPP
372
       products in this study is also divergent over CONUS, but the VPM GPP-SIF slope is more
373
374
       convergent than the other three models (Fig. 7).
```



3.3 Spatial-temporal consistency of GPP and SIF anomalies over CONUS in 2012 377

To evaluate the impacts of spring warming and summer drought on GPP in 2012, we 378 compared the anomalies of GPP from GPP_{VPM}, GPP_{MOD17}, GPP_{SiBCASA}, and GPP_{CASA} to the 379 anomalies of SIF in the spring, summer, and the entire year at $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ (latitude and longitude) 380 resolution (Fig. 8). The anomalies of GPP and SIF were calculated as the differences between 381 year 2012 and the baseline year. The baseline year was calculated as the average of 2008, 382 383 2009, 2010, 2013, and 2014. Geographically, the anomaly of all the four GPP products and SIF showed very interesting spatial patterns at the seasonal and annual scales (Fig. 8). 384

385

Figure 8 is here

386

In the spring season, the middle and eastern CONUS experienced an increase in GPP 387 anomaly while western CONUS experienced a decrease, which was consistent with the 388 389 spatial pattern of SIF anomaly (Fig. 8a-e). The magnitudes and spatial extent of GPP anomaly vary among the four GPP models. For GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{MOD17}, the large increases in 390 GPP (larger than 100 g C m^{-2} season⁻¹) occurred mostly in the Southern Great Plains and part 391 of the Midwestern corn-belt region. For GPP_{CASA}, large increases in GPP occurred mostly in 392



For the entire year, annual GPP_{VPM} , $\text{GPP}_{\text{MOD17}}$, and $\text{GPP}_{\text{SiBCASA}}$ mainly decreased in the western US and corn-belt regions, and annual GPP increased mainly in the eastern and southern forest area, which was consistent with the spatial pattern of SIF (Fig. 8k–o). Only GPP_{CASA} reported strong increases in GPP in the corn-belt region. The correlation analysis showed that none of the four GPP products agreed well with the spatial pattern of annual mean SIF anomaly at the annual scale, with R² values varying from 0.14 to 0.27 (Fig. 9i–l).

415	When aggregated over the entire CONUS by season, the four GPP products and SIF clearly
416	showed an increase in GPP in the spring and a reduction in the summer, indicating the warm
417	spring and droughty summer had opposite effects on GPP (Fig. 8 and Table 2). The spring
418	warming led to an increase in GPP by 0.25-0.48 Pg C season ⁻¹ , where GPP _{CASA} showed the
419	largest increase and GPP _{SiBCASA} showed the least. During the summer, the four GPP products
420	showed a decrease in GPP by 0.21-0.42 Pg C season ⁻¹ , where GPP _{CASA} decreased the most
421	and $GPP_{SiBCASA}$ decreased the least. The annual total GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{CASA} had an increase
422	of 0.11 and 0.18 Pg C year ⁻¹ , while the annual total GPPMOD17 and mean SIF had a
423	decrease of 0.12 Pg C year ⁻¹ and 0.12 mW m ⁻² nm ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹ . The annual total GPP _{SiBCASA}
424	remained neutral in 2012.

425 **3.4 Impacts of spring warming and summer drought on GPP by biomes in 2012**

To quantify the impact of spring warming and summer drought on GPP across biomes, 426 we calculated total GPP from the four models for the four main biomes. In the spring of 2012, 427 428 all four models showed increased GPP for the four biomes (Fig. 10 and Table 3), and the non-forest experienced a stronger increase in GPP than forest. In the four models, CASA 429 430 showed a larger increase in GPP in the spring than other three models in the four biomes, while SiBCASA showed the lowest increase in GPP over most biomes. For the drought 431 summer, all four models showed strong decreases in GPP, and the grassland and cropland 432 experienced the strongest decrease, followed by other biomes. Among the four models, 433 MOD17 showed the largest decrease in GPP in the summer, while SiBCASA showed the 434 least decrease. For the entire year, grassland and cropland experienced a decrease in GPP, 435 436 while forest and other biomes experienced an increase or no change.

437

Figure 10 and Table 3 are here

439 **4. Discussion**

440 4.1 Improving GPP estimates of C₃ and C₄ croplands

Accurate estimation of cropland GPP is important for food production and security. 441 The MOD17 GPP data products have been widely used for crop studies (Guan et al. 2016; 442 Xin et al. 2015). However, several studies have reported that the MOD17 data product 443 substantially underestimates GPP in croplands. One reason is that ε_{max} for croplands in the 444 MOD17 model is too low (~1.04 g C MJ⁻¹) (Turner et al. 2006; Wagle et al. 2016; Xin et al. 445 2015). Site-level studies have indicated that the typical ε_{max} for C₃ crops range from 1.43 to 446 1.96 g C MJ⁻¹ (Chen et al. 2011; Kalfas et al. 2011; Yuan et al. 2015), and ε_{max} for C₄ crops 447 range from 2.25 to 4.06 g C MJ⁻¹ (Xin et al. 2015; Yuan et al. 2015). Several model 448 comparison studies have also showed that both process-based GPP models and LUE models 449 450 have poor performance when estimating GPP in croplands (Schaefer et al. 2012; Verma et al. 2014). Recently, Guanter et al. (2014) used GOME-2 SIF to estimate GPP in croplands using 451 the linear relationship between SIF and tower-based GPP at flux tower sites, and they found 452 these SIF-based GPP estimates in croplands were 50-60% higher than GPP estimates from 453 the ecosystem models over the US Corn Belt. In this study, our ε_{max} values for C₃ croplands 454 $(1.80 \text{ g C MJ}^{-1})$ and C₄ croplands $(2.7 \text{ g C MJ}^{-1})$ were based on previous site-level studies (Li 455 et al. 2013; Xin et al. 2015). The improved ability of VPM to capture the seasonal dynamics 456 and interannual variability of croplands was partly attributed to more appropriate choices of 457 ε_{max} values. 458

Another reason for the large error in estimating cropland GPP by the MOD17 and other models can be attributed to the fact that we have very limited knowledge on the spatial distribution of the C_3 and C_4 croplands within individual 500-m MODIS pixels and their

102	temporar a grannes over years (receves et al. 2005, sun et al. 2005, wang et al. 2015).
463	However, in this study we used the fine-resolution, annual CDL cropland maps. The results
464	demonstrated the potential of annual C_3/C_4 cropland maps at high spatial resolution to
465	improve cropland GPP estimates from the individual pixel to country-wide scales. Although
466	there are several existing global C_3/C_4 maps, they are relatively coarse in spatial resolution
467	and produced only for a specific year. An early study developed a static C_3/C_4 fraction map
468	with a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ by defining the favorable climate zones for C_3/C_4 and
469	combing the global spatial distribution of crop fractions and national harvest area data for
470	major crop types (Still et al. 2003). Another study developed a global distribution map of
471	croplands and pastures at a 5 min by 5 min (~10 km) spatial resolution in 2000 by combining
472	agricultural inventory data and satellite-derived land cover data (Ramankutty et al. 2008).
473	Recently, several studies made very limited progress in mapping C_3 and C_4 plants (both
474	croplands and grasses) when using remote sensing data and simple algorithms (Foody and
475	Dash 2007; Wang et al. 2013). The development of CDL datasets include the use of satellite-
476	based imagery, supervised image classification methodology, and numerous high-quality
477	ground truth data collected to help determine the multi-spectral rules from time-series
478	imagery that best predicted the land cover category. For grasslands, it was reported that there
479	was a strong linear relationship between the percentage of C_3 grass and the season-long
480	cumulative vegetation index (Foody and Dash 2007). These phenological features and time-
481	series MODIS data were used to classify C_3 and C_4 grasslands in the Great Plains (Wang et al
482	2013). Given the importance of C_3 and C_4 plant function types in estimating GPP, it is
483	important for the remote sensing community to increase its effort in mapping C_3 and C_4
484	croplands and grasslands at site, regional, and global scales.

462 temporal dynamics over years (Reeves et al. 2005; Still et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2013).

485 **4.2** The timing and location of climate extremes and their impacts on terrestrial

486 ecosystems

487 Climate extremes such as heatwayes and droughts can reduce vegetative growth, trigger large-scale tree mortality, and turn terrestrial ecosystems from carbon sinks into 488 sources (Ciais et al. 2005; Yuan et al. 2016). The warm spring and hot and dry summer in 489 490 2012 over CONUS offered a unique opportunity to investigate several major questions on the 491 impacts of climate extremes on terrestrial carbon cycle at the regional and continental scales (He et al. 2018; Sippel et al. 2016; Wolf et al. 2016). Many studies have reported that 492 493 terrestrial ecosystems in CONUS have served as carbon sinks in recent decades (Hurtt et al. 2002; Pacala et al. 2001), ranging from 0.30 to 0.58 Pg C per year during the 1980s and 494 1990s, which accounts for 30% of fossil-fuel emissions from the USA. Wolf et al. (2016) 495 analyzed MOD17 GPP data and NEP data from CTE2014 during 2001–2012 and reported 496 that the increase of NEP in the spring compensated for the loss of NEP in the summer, which 497 resulted in a small carbon sink (0.11 Pg C year⁻¹ in 2012) for CONUS. This result suggests 498 the importance of assessing the impacts of climate extremes, which depend on timing, 499 500 duration, and location, on terrestrial carbon budgets at the annual and continental scales (Sippel et al. 2017; von Buttlar et al. 2017). 501

Wolf et al. (2016) analyzed MOD17 GPP data in 2001-2012 and reported that GPP loss in summer in 2012 over CONUS was twice as large as the increase in GPP in the spring of 2012, resulting in a large annual loss of GPP (-0.38 Pg C). Though we used a different baseline, our analysis of MOD17 GPP data in 2008–2014 also shows that the decrease in GPP in the summer of 2012 was substantially larger than the increase in GPP in the spring of 2012, resulting in large annual loss of GPP (-0.12 Pg C) (Fig. 8). However, the results from GPP_{VPM}, GPP_{SiBCASA}, and GPP_{CASA} showed that the GPP increase in the spring is close or

509	slightly larger than GPP loss in the summer of 2012, the annual GPP anomaly ranging from
510	0.01 (GPP _{SiBCASA}), to 0.11 Pg C (GPP _{VPM}), to 0.18 Pg C (GPP _{CASA}), while the GOME-2 SIF
511	anomaly showed a decrease in 2012 (Fig. 7). The differences in modeling GPP responses to
512	spring warming and summer drought among these four models are likely to affect our
513	understanding of the responses of ecosystem respiration (ER) to spring warming and summer
514	drought. As NEP is the sum of GPP (carbon gains) and ER (carbon losses), the large decrease
515	in GPP (e.g., -0.38 Pg C year ⁻¹ in 2012, GPP _{MOD17}) from the previous study (Wolf et al. 2016)
516	implied a slightly larger decrease in ER, which could then result in a small carbon sink (0.11
517	Pg C year ⁻¹ in 2012). In addition, since CASA-GFED3 and SiBCASA-GFED4 are the
518	biosphere models used by CarbonTracker (CT2014) and CarbonTracker Europe (CTE2014)
519	to generate prior biosphere carbon fluxes, the spatial-temporal differences in GPP distribution
520	magnitude, and anomaly from these two models are likely to affect CarbonTracker and
521	CarbonTracker Europe outputs. Previous studies have reported that atmospheric CO ₂
522	inversions are sensitive to the land surface prior fluxes, especially at fine scales and the areas
523	with sparse or no available observations (Peylin et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2014). Therefore,
524	methods to incorporate more reliable carbon flux estimates from atmospheric CO ₂ inversions
525	is critically needed for us to better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle.

526 **4.3 Differential responses to climate extremes across biomes**

Numerous studies have reported the negative impacts of high temperature and droughts on vegetation productivity (Ciais et al. 2005; Welp et al. 2007; Wolf et al. 2016; Yuan et al. 2016). Short-term drought or heatwaves lead to stomatal closure, membrane damage, and disruption of photosynthetic enzyme activities, all of which reduce GPP. If plants experience continuous drought, they may respond to drought stress by structural or physiological adjustments such as decreased leaf area index, changes in the root-shoot ratio, or changes in leaf angle (Frank et al. 2015). But different species have adopted different

534	strategies to deal with water stress. These strategies can be broadly classified as dehydration
535	tolerance or dehydration avoidance (Bacelar et al. 2012). Plants with a dehydration tolerance
536	strategy usually grow rapidly when water is available but will senesce and/or become
537	dormant during drought. Plants with a dehydration avoidance strategy tend to grow more
538	slowly and maintain greenness during drought by increasing water extraction from the soils
539	and reducing water loss from transpiration. Our study showed that the impacts of spring
540	warming and summer drought on the change in GPP varied across biomes (Fig. 10). This
541	change was not only due to the characteristics (timing, magnitude) of the heatwaves and
542	drought at specific regions (Fig. 11), but also species-specific plant drought responses and
543	strategies (von Buttlar et al. 2017; Wolf et al. 2014). Our results show that grasslands
544	experienced the largest reduction in GPP while forests had the largest increase. This
545	difference may be explained by the observation that grasslands are drought sensitive, and
546	more susceptible to heatwaves and droughts as they have less accessibility to soil water
547	(shallow roots) and higher turn-over rates (Frank et al. 2015). Trees usually have deeper roots
548	and better access to soil water, thus forests are considered to be less affected by heatwaves
549	and drought (Frank et al. 2015; van der Molen et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2016b). Grasslands
550	occur in the most severe drought-affected areas, while most forests are in the northwestern
551	and eastern part of CONUS, which were either not affected by the 2012 drought or were
552	classified as abnormally dry (D0) by the U.S. drought monitor (Fig. 11). Cropland systems
553	are different from natural systems by the frequent human intervention (for example, irrigation
554	or changing planting date). Consequently, the impacts of climate extremes on croplands are
555	expected to be highly modulated by human management (Lobell et al. 2012; van der Velde et
556	al. 2010). However, cropland over the Corn Belt, the most important crop area in the US, is
557	mainly rainfed (Leng et al. 2016), leading to a similar GPP response to drought for cropland
558	and grasslands.

Figure 11 is here

560	4.4 Uncertainties and remaining issues
561	The uncertainty of ecosystem models remains a challenge for carbon cycling research.
562	Extreme climate events were found to dominate the global interannual variability of GPP
563	(Zscheischler et al. 2014). At present, most ecological models do not accurately represent the
564	responses of major ecosystem processes to climate extremes and do not accurately track the
565	interannual variability of GPP (Reichstein et al. 2013). For example, previous studies
566	indicated that improving GPP estimates for most models requires better representation of
567	water stress effects on photosynthesis (Schaefer et al. 2012; Verma et al. 2014; Yuan et al.
568	2014). In this study, VPM, MOD17, and CASA are all light use efficiency models, but use
569	different water regulation scalars. VPM uses a water-related vegetation index (LSWI) as the
570	water constraint, MOD17 uses vapor pressure deficit (VPD), and CASA uses the
571	evapotranspiration supply/demand ratio (actual evapotranspiration/potential
572	evapotranspiration). LSWI is found to be a good indicator of soil moisture when taking all the
573	biomes into consideration (Zhang et al. 2015). However, it may not work well for forested
574	areas because of the lower spectral sensitivity to water stress (Sims et al. 2014). VPD
575	represents the impacts of atmospheric dryness on vegetation photosynthesis because stomatal
576	conductance changes with VPD. However, soil moisture also plays an important role in
577	regulating GPP by affecting leaf cell turgor pressure or stomatal conductance, thereby
578	directly affecting photosynthesis (Hashimoto et al. 2013; Leuning et al. 2005). The
579	evapotranspiration ratio requires well simulated hydrologic fluxes in soils where additional
580	information (e.g. soil texture, soil/rooting depth) is required. This information is usually not
581	easy to collect and comes with uncertainties. Therefore, more effort is needed to quantify the
582	model uncertainties and improve model structure.

583	Since SIF can be directly observed from space, has a very good relationship with GPP
584	(Guanter et al. 2014; Wagle et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016a), and is a good indicator of
585	agricultural drought (Sun et al. 2015), we used SIF as a reference to which we compared the
586	impacts of spring warming and summer droughts on vegetation photosynthesis. However, we
587	acknowledge that GOME-2 SIF has some uncertainties, especially in the western part of
588	CONUS (Fig. 6) due to the relatively large signal-to-noise ratio (Zhang et al. 2016a). SIF
589	retrievals from recently launched satellites (OCO-2, Sentinel-5 Precursor, and FLEX-
590	Fluorescence Explorer) with higher spatial resolutions and observations tailored for SIF may
591	improve our understanding of the impacts of climate extremes on vegetation.
592	In this study, we only considered the impacts of climates extremes on terrestrial
593	ecosystems within a year. However, droughts may affect terrestrial ecosystems across months
594	or even years, depending upon plant functional types (Frank et al. 2015; von Buttlar et al.
595	2017). Extreme events could cause plant functional loss, changes in the community structure
596	of ecosystems, increased wildfires, and pest and pathogen outbreaks, all which may
597	necessitate a long recovery period (van der Molen et al. 2011). Further, species' response to
598	climate extremes vary widely, and some impacts could persist long after extreme events
599	(Rammig et al. 2014). Analysis of the responses of terrestrial ecosystems to climate extremes
600	should be conducted over the next few years.

601 **5. Conclusions**

The spring warming and summer drought of 2012 across CONUS had substantial impacts on the terrestrial carbon cycle and offered a unique opportunity to investigate the responses of photosynthesis (GPP) and respiration processes at large scales. We presented an improved VPM model that incorporates C_3 and C_4 croplands and can better capture the seasonal dynamics and interannual variation of GPP than the MOD17 product when these

models are compared to GPP_{EC} data from eddy covariance flux tower sites. Spatial-temporal 607 comparisons among GOME-2 SIF, GPP_{MOD17}, and GPP_{VPM} products during 2008–2014 608 showed strong consistency between GOME-2 SIF and GPP_{VPM} data products. Anomaly 609 610 analyses of (1) annual GPP from three other models (VPM, SiBCASA, and CASA) and (2) GOME-2 SIF data between the baseline years (2008, 2009, 2010, 2013, 2014) and drought 611 year 2012 suggested that increased GPP during the warm spring compensated for decreased 612 GPP during the dry and hot summer, resulting in close to net neutral changes in annual GPP. 613 The results from this study clearly highlight the importance of assessing the impacts of co-614 615 occurring climate extremes at seasonal and annual scales over large spatial domains. Our results demonstrate the need to further improve GPP models, which could increase the 616 accuracy and reduce uncertainties in GPP estimates of terrestrial ecosystems. 617

618 Acknowledgements

This work used eddy covariance data acquired and shared by the FLUXNET 619 community, including these networks: AmeriFlux, AfriFlux, AsiaFlux, CarboAfrica, 620 621 CarboEuropeIP, CarboItaly, CarboMont, ChinaFlux, Fluxnet-Canada, GreenGrass, ICOS, KoFlux, LBA, NECC, OzFlux-TERN, TCOS-Siberia, and TERENO and USCCC. The 622 FLUXNET eddy covariance data processing and harmonization was carried out by the ICOS 623 624 Ecosystem Thematic Center, AmeriFlux Management Project and Fluxdata project of FLUXNET, with the support of CDIAC, and the OzFlux, ChinaFlux and AsiaFlux offices. 625 This study is partly supported by the research grants (Project No. 2013-69002-23146 and 626 2016-68002-24967) through the USDA National Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA), a 627 research grant (IIA-1301789) from the US National Science Foundation EPSCoR program, 628 and a research grant (Geostationary Carbon Cycle Observatory mission, 80LARC17C0001) 629 from NASA. We acknowledge Ivar R. van der Velde for providing the SiBCASA-GFED4 630 631 simulations, the monthly 1°×1° SiBCASA-GFED4 GPP data over CONUS from 2008-2014

- 632 used is available at (https://github.com/xiaocuiwu/SiBCASA_GPP_monthly_CONUS.git).
- The CASA-GFED3 project (https://nacp-files.nacarbon.org/nacp-kawa-01) is supported by 633
- the North American Carbon Program. The VPM model code and the aggregated 1°×1° VPM 634
- 635 GPP over CONUS from 2008-2014 are available at
- (https://github.com/xiaocuiwu/GPP_VPM_C3C4_CONUS.git). 636
- 637

References 638

- Bacelar, E.L.V.A., Moutinho-Pereira, J.M., Gonçalves, B.M.C., Brito, C.V.Q., Gomes-Laranjo, J., 639 Ferreira, H.M.F., & Correia, C.M. (2012). Water Use Strategies of Plants Under Drought 640 641 Conditions. In: Aroca R. (eds) Plant Responses to Drought Stress. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32653-0_6 642 643 Baldocchi, D.D., Xu, L.K., & Kiang, N. (2004). How plant functional-type, weather, seasonal drought, and soil physical properties alter water and energy fluxes of an oak-grass savanna 644 and an annual grassland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 123, 13-39
- 646 Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Tomelleri, E., Ciais, P., Jung, M., Carvalhais, N., Rodenbeck, C., Arain, M.A., Baldocchi, D., Bonan, G.B., Bondeau, A., Cescatti, A., Lasslop, G., Lindroth, A., 647 Lomas, M., Luyssaert, S., Margolis, H., Oleson, K.W., Roupsard, O., Veenendaal, E., Viovy, 648 N, Williams, C., Woodward, F.I., & Papale, D. (2010). Terrestrial Gross Carbon Dioxide 649 Uptake: Global Distribution and Covariation with Climate. Science, 329, 834-838 650
- Boryan, C., Yang, Z., Mueller, R., & Craig, M. (2011). Monitoring US agriculture: the US 651 652 Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Cropland Data Layer Program. Geocarto International, 26, 341-358 653
- 654 Cavanaugh, M.L., Kurc, S.A., & Scott, R.L. (2011). Evapotranspiration partitioning in semiarid shrubland ecosystems: a two-site evaluation of soil moisture control on transpiration. 655 Ecohydrology, 4, 671-681 656
- Chen, J.M. (1996). Optically-based methods for measuring seasonal variation of leaf area index in 657 boreal conifer stands. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 80, 135-163 658
- Chen, T., van der Werf, G.R., Dolman, A.J., & Groenendijk, M. (2011). Evaluation of cropland 659 660 maximum light use efficiency using eddy flux measurements in North America and Europe. Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L14707, doi: 10.1029/2011GL047533 661
- Chu, H.S., Chen, J.Q., Gottgens, J.F., Ouyang, Z.T., John, R., Czajkowski, K., & Becker, R. (2014). 662 Net ecosystem methane and carbon dioxide exchanges in a Lake Erie coastal marsh and a 663 664 nearby cropland. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 119, 722-740
- Ciais, P., Reichstein, M., Viovy, N., Granier, A., Ogee, J., Allard, V., Aubinet, M., Buchmann, N., 665 Bernhofer, C., Carrara, A., Chevallier, F., De Noblet, N., Friend, A.D., Friedlingstein, P., 666 Grunwald, T., Heinesch, B., Keronen, P., Knohl, A., Krinner, G., Loustau, D., Manca, G., 667 668 Matteucci, G., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.M., Papale, D., Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S., Seufert, G., Soussana, J.F., Sanz, M.J., Schulze, E.D., Vesala, T., & Valentini, R. (2005). Europe-669 wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. Nature, 437, 670 529-533 671

672 Collatz, G.J., Ribas-Carbo, M., & Berry, J.A. (1992). Coupled Photosynthesis-Stomatal Conductance Model for Leaves of C4 Plants. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 19, 673 519-538 674 Cook, B.D., Davis, K.J., Wang, W.G., Desai, A., Berger, B.W., Teclaw, R.M., Martin, J.G., Bolstad, 675 676 P.V., Bakwin, P.S., Yi, C.X., & Heilman, W. (2004). Carbon exchange and venting anomalies in an upland deciduous forest in northern Wisconsin, USA. Agricultural and 677 678 Forest Meteorology, 126, 271-295 Disney, M., Lewis, P., & Saich, P. (2006). 3D modelling of forest canopy structure for remote 679 680 sensing simulations in the optical and microwave domains. Remote Sensing of Environment, 100, 114-132 681 Dong, J.W., Xiao, X.M., Wagle, P., Zhang, G.L., Zhou, Y.T., Jin, C., Torn, M.S., Meyers, T.P., 682 Suyker, A.E., Wang, J.B., Yan, H.M., Biradar, C., & Moore, B. (2015). Comparison of four 683 EVI-based models for estimating gross primary production of maize and soybean croplands 684 and tallgrass prairie under severe drought. Remote Sensing of Environment, 162, 154-168 685 Doughty, R., Xiao, X., Wu, X., Zhang, Y., Bajgain, R., Zhou, Y., Qin, Y., Zou, Z., McCarthy, H., 686 Friedman, J., Wagle, P., Basara, J., & Steiner, J. (2018). Responses of gross primary 687 production of grasslands and croplands under drought, pluvial, and irrigation conditions 688 689 during 2010–2016, Oklahoma, USA. Agricultural Water Management, 204, 47-59 Farguhar, G.D., Caemmerer, S.V., & Berry, J.A. (1980). A Biochemical Model of Photosynthetic 690 691 CO2 Assimilation in Leaves of C3 Species. Planta, 149, 78-90 692 Fischer, M.L., Billesbach, D.P., Berry, J.A., Riley, W.J., & Torn, M.S. (2007). Spatiotemporal 693 Variations in Growing Season Exchanges of CO₂ H₂O, and Sensible Heat in Agricultural 694 Fields of the Southern Great Plains. Earth Interactions, 11, 1-21 Foody, G.M., & Dash, J. (2007). Discriminating and mapping the C3 and C4 composition of 695 696 grasslands in the northern Great Plains, USA. Ecological Informatics, 2, 89-93 697 Frank, D., Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Thonicke, K., Frank, D., Mahecha, M.D., Smith, P., van der 698 Velde, M., Vicca, S., Babst, F., Beer, C., Buchmann, N., Canadell, J.G., Ciais, P., Cramer, 699 W., Ibrom, A., Miglietta, F., Poulter, B., Rammig, A., Seneviratne, S.I., Walz, A., 700 Wattenbach, M., Zavala, M.A., & Zscheischler, J. (2015). Effects of climate extremes on the terrestrial carbon cycle: concepts, processes and potential future impacts. Global Change 701 702 Biology, 21, 2861-2880 703 Frankenberg, C., Fisher, J.B., Worden, J., Badgley, G., Saatchi, S.S., Lee, J.-E., Toon, G.C., Butz, 704 A., Jung, M., Kuze, A., & Yokota, T. (2011). New global observations of the terrestrial carbon cycle from GOSAT: Patterns of plant fluorescence with gross primary productivity. 705 706 Geophysical Research Letters, 38, L17706, doi: 10.1029/2011GL048738 707 Friedl, M.A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., & Huang, X. (2010). MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization 708 709 of new datasets. Remote Sensing of Environment, 114, 168-182 710 Gough, C.M., Hardiman, B.S., Nave, L.E., Bohrer, G., Maurer, K.D., Vogel, C.S., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 711 & Curtis, P.S. (2013). Sustained carbon uptake and storage following moderate disturbance 712 in a Great Lakes forest. Ecological Applications, 23, 1202-1215 Gough, C.M., Vogel, C.S., Schmid, H.P., Su, H.B., & Curtis, P.S. (2008). Multi-year convergence 713 714 of biometric and meteorological estimates of forest carbon storage. Agricultural and Forest 715 Meteorology, 148, 158-170 716 Guan, K., Berry, J.A., Zhang, Y., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Badgley, G., & Lobell, D.B. (2016). 717 Improving the monitoring of crop productivity using spaceborne solar-induced fluorescence. 718 Global Change Biology, 22, 716-726

 719 720 721 722 723 724 	Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J.A., Frankenberg, C., Huete, A.R., Zarco-Tejada, P., Lee, J.E., Moran, M.S., Ponce-Campos, G., Beer, C., Camps-Valls, G., Buchmann, N., Gianelle, D., Klumpp, K., Cescatti, A., Baker, J.M., & Griffis, T.J. (2014). Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111</i> , E1327-1333
725 726 727	Hashimoto, H., Wang, W., Milesi, C., Xiong, J., Ganguly, S., Zhu, Z., & Nemani, R. (2013). Structural Uncertainty in Model-Simulated Trends of Global Gross Primary Production. <i>Remote Sensing</i> , <i>5</i> , 1258-1273
728 729 730	 Hatala, J.A., Detto, M., Sonnentag, O., Deverel, S.J., Verfaillie, J., & Baldocchi, D.D. (2012). Greenhouse gas (CO₂, CH₄, H₂O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. <i>Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment</i>, 150, 1-18
731 732 733	 He, W., Ju, W., Schwalm, C., Sippel, S., Wu, X., He, Q., Song, L., Zhang, C., Li, J., Sitch, S., Viovy, N., Friedlingstein, P., & Jain, A. (2018). Terrestrial net carbon uptake over North America in 2011 and 2012. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences</i>, 123
734 735 736 737	 Hilker, T., Lyapustin, A.I., Tucker, C.J., Hall, F.G., Myneni, R.B., Wang, Y.J., Bi, J., de Moura, Y.M., & Sellers, P.J. (2014). Vegetation dynamics and rainfall sensitivity of the Amazon. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i>, 111, 16041-16046
738 739 740 741	Hilton, T.W., Whelan, M.E., Zumkehr, A., Kulkarni, S., Berry, J.A., Baker, I.T., Montzka, S.A., Sweeney, C., Miller, B.R., & Elliott Campbell, J. (2017). Peak growing season gross uptake of carbon in North America is largest in the Midwest USA. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 7, 450- 454
742 743 744	Hoerling, M., Eischeid, J., Kumar, A., Leung, R., Mariotti, A., Mo, K., Schubert, S., & Seager, R. (2014). Causes and Predictability of the 2012 Great Plains Drought. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 95, 269-282
745 746 747	Huete, A.R., Liu, H.Q., Batchily, K., & vanLeeuwen, W. (1997). A comparison of vegetation indices global set of TM images for EOS-MODIS. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , 59, 440-451
748 749 750	Hurtt, G.C., Pacala, S.W., Moorcroft, P.R., Caspersen, J., Shevliakova, E., Houghton, R.A., & Moore, B., 3rd (2002). Projecting the future of the U.S. carbon sink. <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 99, 1389-1394
751 752 753	Jin, C., Xiao, X., Merbold, L., Arneth, A., Veenendaal, E., & Kutsch, W.L. (2013). Phenology and gross primary production of two dominant savanna woodland ecosystems in Southern Africa. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment, 135</i> , 189-201
754 755 756 757	Jin, C., Xiao, X.M., Wagle, P., Griffis, T., Dong, J.W., Wu, C.Y., Qin, Y.W., & Cook, D.R. (2015). Effects of in-situ and reanalysis climate data on estimation of cropland gross primary production using the Vegetation Photosynthesis Model. <i>Agricultural and Forest</i> <i>Meteorology, 213</i> , 240-250
758 759 760 761 762	Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Lindstrot, R., Voigt, M., Vasilkov, A.P., Middleton, E.M., Huemmrich, K.F., Yoshida, Y., & Frankenberg, C. (2013). Global monitoring of terrestrial chlorophyll fluorescence from moderate-spectral-resolution near-infrared satellite measurements: methodology, simulations, and application to GOME-2. <i>Atmospheric Measurement</i> <i>Techniques</i> , <i>6</i> , 2803-2823
763 764 765	Kalfas, J.L., Xiao, X., Vanegas, D.X., Verma, S.B., & Suyker, A.E. (2011). Modeling gross primary production of irrigated and rain-fed maize using MODIS imagery and CO ₂ flux tower data. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , <i>151</i> , 1514-1528

766 767 768	Knutson, T.R., Zeng, F., & Wittenberg, A.T. (2013). The extreme March-May 2012 warm anomaly over the Eastern United States: Global context and multimodel trend analysis. <i>Bulletin of the</i> <i>American Meteorological Society</i> , 94, S13-S17
769 770 771 772	Lasslop, G., Reichstein, M., Papale, D., Richardson, A.D., Arneth, A., Barr, A., Stoy, P., & Wohlfahrt, G. (2010). Separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and respiration using a light response curve approach: critical issues and global evaluation. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>16</i> , 187-208
773 774 775	Law, B.E., Turner, D., Campbell, J., Sun, O.J., Van Tuyl, S., Ritts, W.D., & Cohen, W.B. (2004). Disturbance and climate effects on carbon stocks and fluxes across Western Oregon USA. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>10</i> , 1429-1444
776 777 778	Law, B.E., Williams, M., Anthoni, P.M., Baldocchi, D., & Unsworth, M.H. (2000). Measuring and modelling seasonal variation of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange of a pinus ponderosa forest subject to soil water deficit. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>6</i> , 613-630
779 780 781 782 783 783 784 785	Le Quéré, C., Raupach, M.R., Canadell, J.G., Marland, G., Le Quéré et al, C., Le Quéré et al, C., Raupach, M.R., Canadell, J.G., Marland, G., Bopp, L., Ciais, P., Conway, T.J., Doney, S.C., Feely, R.A., Foster, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gurney, K., Houghton, R.A., House, J.I., Huntingford, C., Levy, P.E., Lomas, M.R., Majkut, J., Metzl, N., Ometto, J.P., Peters, G.P., Prentice, I.C., Randerson, J.T., Running, S.W., Sarmiento, J.L., Schuster, U., Sitch, S., Takahashi, T., Viovy, N., van der Werf, G.R., Woodward, F.I., & et al. (2009). Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. <i>Nature Geoscience</i> , <i>2</i> , 831-836
786 787 788 789	 Leng, G.Y., Zhang, X.S., Huang, M.Y., Yang, Q.C., Rafique, R., Asrar, G.R., & Leung, L.R. (2016). Simulating county-level crop yields in the Conterminous United States using the Community Land Model: The effects of optimizing irrigation and fertilization. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Advances in Modeling Earth Systems</i>, 8, 1912-1931
790 791 792 793	Leuning, R., Cleugh, H.A., Zegelin, S.J., & Hughes, D. (2005). Carbon and water fluxes over a temperate Eucalyptus forest and a tropical wet/dry savanna in Australia: measurements and comparison with MODIS remote sensing estimates. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 129, 151-173
794 795 796 797 798	Li, X.L., Liang, S.L., Yu, G.R., Yuan, W.P., Cheng, X., Xia, J.Z., Zhao, T.B., Feng, J.M., Ma, Z.G., Ma, M.G., Liu, S.M., Chen, J.Q., Shao, C.L., Li, S.G., Zhang, X.D., Zhang, Z.Q., Chen, S.P., Ohta, T., Varlagin, A., Miyata, A., Takagi, K., Saiqusa, N., & Kato, T. (2013). Estimation of gross primary production over the terrestrial ecosystems in China. <i>Ecological Modelling</i> , 261, 80-92
799 800 801	Lobell, D.B., Hicke, J.A., Asner, G.P., Field, C., Tucker, C., & Los, S. (2002). Satellite estimates of productivity and light use efficiency in United States agriculture, 1982-98. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>8</i> , 722-735
802 803	Lobell, D.B., Sibley, A., & Ivan Ortiz-Monasterio, J. (2012). Extreme heat effects on wheat senescence in India. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , <i>2</i> , 186-189
804 805 806	Ma, S., Baldocchi, D.D., Xu, L., & Hehn, T. (2007). Inter-annual variability in carbon dioxide exchange of an oak/grass savanna and open grassland in California. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 147, 157-171
807 808 809	Matamala, R., Jastrow, J.D., Miller, R.M., & Garten, C.T. (2008). Temporal changes in C and N stocks of restored prairie: Implications for C sequestration strategies. <i>Ecological Applications</i> , 18, 1470-1488
810 811	Monteith, J.L. (1972). Solar-Radiation and Productivity in Tropical Ecosystems. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , 9, 747-766
812 813	Monteith, J.L. (1977). Climate and Efficiency of Crop Production in Britain. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences</i> , 281, 277-294

862 863	productivity and respiration during the European summer 2003 climate anomaly: a joint flux tower, remote sensing and modelling analysis. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>13</i> , 634-651
864 865 866 867 868 869 870	 Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, JM., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., & Valentini, R. (2005). On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. <i>Global Change Biology</i>, <i>11</i>, 1424-1439
871	Rippey, B.R. (2015). The U.S. drought of 2012. Weather and Climate Extremes, 10, Part A, 57-64
872 873 874	Running, S., Nemani, R., Heinsch, F.A., Zhao, M., Reeves, M., & Hashimoto, H. (2004). A contiguous satellite-derived measure of global terrestrial primary production. <i>Bioscience</i> , <i>54</i> , 547-560
875 876 877 878	Schaefer, K., Collatz, G.J., Tans, P., Denning, A.S., Baker, I., Berry, J., Prihodko, L., Suits, N., & Philpott, A. (2008). Combined Simple Biosphere/Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach terrestrial carbon cycle model. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 113, G03034, doi: 10.1029/2007JG000603
879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 886 887 888	 Schaefer, K., Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C., Arain, M.A., Barr, A., Chen, J.M., Davis, K.J., Dimitrov, D., Hilton, T.W., Hollinger, D.Y., Humphreys, E., Poulter, B., Raczka, B.M., Richardson, A.D., Sahoo, A., Thornton, P., Vargas, R., Verbeeck, H., Anderson, R., Baker, I., Black, T.A., Bolstad, P., Chen, J., Curtis, P.S., Desai, A.R., Dietze, M., Dragoni, D., Gough, C., Grant, R.F., Gu, L., Jain, A., Kucharik, C., Law, B., Liu, S., Lokipitiya, E., Margolis, H.A., Matamala, R., McCaughey, J.H., Monson, R., Munger, J.W., Oechel, W., Peng, C., Price, D.T., Ricciuto, D., Riley, W.J., Roulet, N., Tian, H., Tonitto, C., Torn, M., Weng, E., & Zhou, X. (2012). A model-data comparison of gross primary productivity: Results from the North American Carbon Program site synthesis. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117</i>, doi:10.1029/2007JG000603
889 890 891	Schmid, H.P., Grimmond, S.B., Cropley, F., Offerle, B., & Su, H. (2000). Measurements of CO2 and energy fluxes over a mixed hardwood forest in the mid-western United States. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 103, 357-374
 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 	 Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C.A., Schaefer, K., Anderson, R., Arain, M.A., Baker, I., Barr, A., Black, T.A., Chen, G., Chen, J.M., Ciais, P., Davis, K.J., Desai, A., Dietze, M., Dragoni, D., Fischer, M.L., Flanagan, L.B., Grant, R., Gu, L., Hollinger, D., Izaurralde, R.C., Kucharik, C., Lafleur, P., Law, B.E., Li, L., Li, Z., Liu, S., Lokupitiya, E., Luo, Y., Ma, S., Margolis, H., Matamala, R., McCaughey, H., Monson, R.K., Oechel, W.C., Peng, C., Poulter, B., Price, D.T., Riciutto, D.M., Riley, W., Sahoo, A.K., Sprintsin, M., Sun, J., Tian, H., Tonitto, C., Verbeeck, H., & Verma, S.B. (2010). A model-data intercomparison of CO2exchange across North America: Results from the North American Carbon Program site synthesis. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research, 115</i>, doi: 10.1029/2009JG001229
901 902 903	Scott, R.L., Biederman, J.A., Hamerlynck, E.P., & Barron-Gafford, G.A. (2015). The carbon balance pivot point of southwestern U.S. semiarid ecosystems: Insights from the 21st century drought. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 120</i> , 2612-2624
904 905 906	Scott, R.L., Hamerlynck, E.P., Jenerette, G.D., Moran, M.S., & Barron-Gafford, G.A. (2010). Carbon dioxide exchange in a semidesert grassland through drought-induced vegetation change. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 115, doi:10.1029/2010JG001348
907 908 909	Scott, R.L., Jenerette, G.D., Potts, D.L., & Huxman, T.E. (2009). Effects of seasonal drought on net carbon dioxide exchange from a woody-plant-encroached semiarid grassland. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , <i>114</i> , doi:10.1029/2008JG000900

910 911 912	Sims, D.A., Brzostek, E.R., Rahman, A.F., Dragoni, D., & Phillips, R.P. (2014). An improved approach for remotely sensing water stress impacts on forest C uptake. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 20, 2856-2866
913 914 915 916	Sippel, S., Forkel, M., Rammig, A., Thonicke, K., Flach, M., Heimann, M., Otto, F.E.L., Reichstein, M., & Mahecha, M.D. (2017). Contrasting and interacting changes in simulated spring and summer carbon cycle extremes in European ecosystems. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 12, 075006
917 918 919	Sippel, S., Zscheischler, J., & Reichstein, M. (2016). Ecosystem impacts of climate extremes crucially depend on the timing. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 113, 5768-5770
920 921 922	Still, C.J., Berry, J.A., Collatz, G.J., & DeFries, R.S. (2003). Global distribution of C ₃ and C ₄ vegetation: carbon cycle implications. <i>Global Biogeochemical Cycles</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>1006</i> , doi: 10.1029/2001GB001807
923 924 925	 Sturtevant, C., Ruddell, B.L., Knox, S.H., Verfaillie, J., Matthes, J.H., Oikawa, P.Y., & Baldocchi, D. (2016). Identifying scale-emergent, nonlinear, asynchronous processes of wetland methane exchange. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences</i>, 121, 188-204
926 927 928 929	Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Wood, J.D., Schimel, D.S., Jung, M., Guanter, L., Drewry, D.T., Verma, M., Porcar-Castell, A., Griffis, T.J., Gu, L., Magney, T.S., Kohler, P., Evans, B., & Yuen, K. (2017). OCO-2 advances photosynthesis observation from space via solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. <i>Science</i> , <i>358</i> , <i>eaam5747</i> , <i>doi: 10.1126/science.aam5747</i>
930 931 932 933	Sun, Y., Fu, R., Dickinson, R., Joiner, J., Frankenberg, C., Gu, L.H., Xia, Y.L., & Fernando, N. (2015). Drought onset mechanisms revealed by satellite solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence: Insights from two contrasting extreme events. <i>Journal of Geophysical</i> <i>Research-Biogeosciences</i> , 120, 2427-2440,
934 935 936	Suyker, A.E., Verma, S.B., Burba, G.G., & Arkebauer, T.J. (2005). Gross primary production and ecosystem respiration of irrigated maize and irrigated soybean during a growing season. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 131, 180-190
937 938 939 940	Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., Cohen, W.B., Gower, S.T., Running, S.W., Zhao, M., Costa, M.H., Kirschbaum, A.A., Ham, J.M., Saleska, S.R., & Ahl, D.E. (2006). Evaluation of MODIS NPP and GPP products across multiple biomes. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , 102, 282- 292
941 942 943 944	Urbanski, S., Barford, C., Wofsy, S., Kucharik, C., Pyle, E., Budney, J., McKain, K., Fitzjarrald, D., Czikowsky, M., & Munger, J.W. (2007). Factors controlling CO2 exchange on timescales from hourly to decadal at Harvard Forest. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 112, doi: 10.1029/2006JG000293
945 946 947 948 949	van der Laan-Luijkx, I.T., van der Velde, I.R., van der Veen, E., Tsuruta, A., Stanislawska, K., Babenhauserheide, A., Zhang, H.F., Liu, Y., He, W., Chen, H., Masarie, K.A., Krol, M.C., & Peters, W. (2017). The CarbonTracker Data Assimilation Shell (CTDAS) v1.0: implementation and global carbon balance 2001–2015. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 10, 2785-2800
950 951 952 953 954	 van der Molen, M.K., Dolman, A.J., Ciais, P., Eglin, T., Gobron, N., Law, B.E., Meir, P., Peters, W., Phillips, O.L., Reichstein, M., Chen, T., Dekker, S.C., Doubková, M., Friedl, M.A., Jung, M., van den Hurk, B.J.J.M., de Jeu, R.A.M., Kruijt, B., Ohta, T., Rebel, K.T., Plummer, S., Seneviratne, S.I., Sitch, S., Teuling, A.J., van der Werf, G.R., & Wang, G. (2011). Drought and ecosystem carbon cycling. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i>, <i>151</i>, 765-773
955 956 957	van der Velde, I.R., Miller, J.B., Schaefer, K., van der Werf, G.R., Krol, M.C., & Peters, W. (2014). Terrestrial cycling of 13CO2 by photosynthesis, respiration, and biomass burning in SiBCASA. <i>Biogeosciences</i> , 11, 6553-6571

958 959 960	 van der Velde, M., Wriedt, G., & Bouraoui, F. (2010). Estimating irrigation use and effects on maize yield during the 2003 heatwave in France☆. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 135, 90-97
961 962 963	van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Kasibhatla, P.S., & Arellano, A.F. (2006). Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from 1997 to 2004. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 6, 3423-3441
964 965 966 967	van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G.J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P.S., Morton, D.C., DeFries, R.S., Jin, Y., & van Leeuwen, T.T. (2010). Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009). <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , <i>10</i> , 11707-11735
968 969 970 971 972 973 974	 van Gorsel, E., Delpierre, N., Leuning, R., Black, A., Munger, J.W., Wofsy, S., Aubinet, M., Feigenwinter, C., Beringer, J., Bonal, D., Chen, B., Chen, J., Clement, R., Davis, K.J., Desai, A.R., Dragoni, D., Etzold, S., Grünwald, T., Gu, L., Heinesch, B., Hutyra, L.R., Jans, W.W.P., Kutsch, W., Law, B.E., Leclerc, M.Y., Mammarella, I., Montagnani, L., Noormets, A., Rebmann, C., & Wharton, S. (2009). Estimating nocturnal ecosystem respiration from the vertical turbulent flux and change in storage of CO₂. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i>, <i>149</i>, 1919-1930
975 976 977 978 979	 Verma, M., Friedl, M.A., Richardson, A.D., Kiely, G., Cescatti, A., Law, B.E., Wohlfahrt, G., Gielen, B., Roupsard, O., Moors, E.J., Toscano, P., Vaccari, F.P., Gianelle, D., Bohrer, G., Varlagin, A., Buchmann, N., van Gorsel, E., Montagnani, L., & Propastin, P. (2014). Remote sensing of annual terrestrial gross primary productivity from MODIS: an assessment using the FLUXNET La Thuile data set. <i>Biogeosciences</i>, <i>11</i>, 2185-2200
980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987	von Buttlar, J., Zscheischler, J., Rammig, A., Sippel, S., Reichstein, M., Knohl, A., Jung, M., Menzer, O., Arain, M.A., Buchmann, N., Cescatti, A., Gianelle, D., Kieley, G., Law, B.E., Magliulo, V., Margolis, H., McCaughey, H., Merbold, L., Migliavacca, M., Montagnani, L., Oechel, W., Pavelka, M., Peichl, M., Rambal, S., Raschi, A., Scott, R.L., Vaccari, F.P., van Gorsel, E., Varlagin, A., Wohlfahrt, G., & Mahecha, M.D. (2017). Impacts of droughts and extreme temperature events on gross primary production and ecosystem respiration: a systematic assessment across ecosystems and climate zones. <i>Biogeosciences Discussions</i> , 1- 39
988 989 990	Wagle, P., Xiao, X.M., & Suyker, A.E. (2015). Estimation and analysis of gross primary production of soybean under various management practices and drought conditions. <i>Isprs Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing</i> , 99, 70-83
991 992 993	Wagle, P., Zhang, Y.G., Jin, C., & Xiao, X.M. (2016). Comparison of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence, light-use efficiency, and process-based GPP models in maize. <i>Ecological</i> <i>Applications</i> , 26, 1211-1222
994 995 996	 Wang, C., Hunt, E.R., Zhang, L., & Guo, H.D. (2013). Phenology-assisted classification of C3 and C4 grasses in the U.S. Great Plains and their climate dependency with MODIS time series. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i>, 138, 90-101
997 998 999	 Welp, L.R., Randerson, J.T., & Liu, H.P. (2007). The sensitivity of carbon fluxes to spring warming and summer drought depends on plant functional type in boreal forest ecosystems. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 147, 172-185
1000 1001 1002 1003	 Wolf, S., Eugster, W., Ammann, C., Häni, M., Zielis, S., Hiller, R., Stieger, J., Imer, D., Merbold, L., & Buchmann, N. (2013). Corrigendum: Contrasting response of grassland versus forest carbon and water fluxes to spring drought in Switzerland. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i>, 8, 035007
1004 1005	Wolf, S., Keenan, T.F., Fisher, J.B., Baldocchi, D.D., Desai, A.R., Richardson, A.D., Scott, R.L., Law, B.E., Litvak, M.E., Brunsell, N.A., Peters, W., & van der Laan-Luijkx, I.T. (2016).

1006 1007	Warm spring reduced carbon cycle impact of the 2012 US summer drought. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 113, 5880-5885
1008 1009 1010	Xiao, X.M., Hollinger, D., Aber, J., Goltz, M., Davidson, E.A., Zhang, Q.Y., & Moore, B. (2004a). Satellite-based modeling of gross primary production in an evergreen needleleaf forest. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , 89, 519-534
1011 1012 1013	Xiao, X.M., Zhang, Q.Y., Braswell, B., Urbanski, S., Boles, S., Wofsy, S., Berrien, M., & Ojima, D. (2004b). Modeling gross primary production of temperate deciduous broadleaf forest using satellite images and climate data. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i> , <i>91</i> , 256-270
1014 1015 1016	Xin, Q., Broich, M., Suyker, A.E., Yu, L., & Gong, P. (2015). Multi-scale evaluation of light use efficiency in MODIS gross primary productivity for croplands in the Midwestern United States. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 201, 111-119
1017 1018 1019	 Yuan, W., Cai, W., Chen, Y., Liu, S., Dong, W., Zhang, H., Yu, G., Chen, Z., He, H., Guo, W., Liu, D., Liu, S., Xiang, W., Xie, Z., Zhao, Z., & Zhou, G. (2016). Severe summer heatwave and drought strongly reduced carbon uptake in Southern China. <i>Scientific Report</i>, <i>6</i>, 18813
1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025	 Yuan, W., Cai, W., Xia, J., Chen, J., Liu, S., Dong, W., Merbold, L., Law, B., Arain, A., Beringer, J., Bernhofer, C., Black, A., Blanken, P.D., Cescatti, A., Chen, Y., Francois, L., Gianelle, D., Janssens, I.A., Jung, M., Kato, T., Kiely, G., Liu, D., Marcolla, B., Montagnani, L., Raschi, A., Roupsard, O., Varlagin, A., & Wohlfahrt, G. (2014). Global comparison of light use efficiency models for simulating terrestrial vegetation gross primary production based on the LaThuile database. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i>, <i>192-193</i>, 108-120
1026 1027 1028	Yuan, W.P., Cai, W.W., Nguy-Robertson, A.L., Fang, H.J., Suyker, A.E., Chen, Y., Dong, W.J., Liu, S.G., & Zhang, H.C. (2015). Uncertainty in simulating gross primary production of cropland ecosystem from satellite-based models. <i>Agricultural and Forest Meteorology</i> , 207, 48-57
1029 1030 1031	Zhang, Y., Song, C., Sun, G., Band, L.E., Noormets, A., & Zhang, Q. (2015). Understanding moisture stress on light use efficiency across terrestrial ecosystems based on global flux and remote-sensing data. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 120</i> , 2053-2066
1032 1033 1034 1035	 Zhang, Y., Xiao, X., Jin, C., Dong, J., Zhou, S., Wagle, P., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Zhang, G., Qin, Y., Wang, J., & Moore, B. (2016a). Consistency between sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and gross primary production of vegetation in North America. <i>Remote Sensing of Environment</i>, 183, 154-169
1036 1037 1038	Zhang, Y., Xiao, X., Wu, X., Zhou, S., Zhang, G., Qin, Y., & Dong, J. (2017). A global moderate resolution dataset of gross primary production of vegetation for 2000–2016. <i>Scientific Data</i> , 4, 170165
1039 1040 1041	Zhang, Y., Xiao, X.M., Zhou, S., Ciais, P., McCarthy, H., & Luo, Y.Q. (2016b). Canopy and physiological controls of GPP during drought and heat wave. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 43, 3325-3333
1042 1043 1044 1045 1046	Zhu, Q., Zhuang, Q., Henze, D., Bowman, K., Chen, M., Liu, Y., He, Y., Matsueda, H., Machida, T., Sawa, Y., & Oechel, W. (2014). Constraining terrestrial ecosystem CO2 fluxes by integrating models of biogeochemistry and atmospheric transport and data of surface carbon fluxes and atmospheric CO2 concentrations. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> <i>Discussions</i> , 14, 22587-22638
1047 1048 1049 1050	Zscheischler, J., Mahecha, M.D., von Buttlar, J., Harmeling, S., Jung, M., Rammig, A., Randerson, J.T., Scholkopf, B., Seneviratne, S.I., Tomelleri, E., Zaehle, S., & Reichstein, M. (2014). A few extreme events dominate global interannual variability in gross primary production. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , <i>9</i> , doi:10.1088/1748-9326/1089/1083/035001
1051 1052	
1052	



Fig. 1. (a) Land cover map of CONUS derived from MCD12Q1 in 2011 and (b) the C_4 crop percentage within a 500-m MODIS pixel derived from 30-m cropland data layer.

1056 Abbreviations denote the IGBP land-use classes. WAT: Water, ENF: Evergreen Needleleaf

1057 Forest, EBF: Evergreen Broadleaf Forest, DNF: Deciduous Needleleaf Forest, DBF:

- 1058 Deciduous Broadleaf Forest, MF: Mixed Forest, CS: Closed Shrublands, OS: Open
- 1059 Shrublands, WS: Woody Shrublands, SAV: Savannas, GRA: Grasslands, PW: permanent
- 1060 wetlands, CRO: Croplands, UB: Urban and Built-up, MOS: Cropland/Natural vegetation
- 1061 mosaic; SNO: Snow and Ice; BAR: Barren or sparsely vegetated. In Fig. 1a, we also labeled

1062 the locations of the eddy covariance flux tower sites used in this study.

1063

Acce



- Fig. 2. Seasonal dynamics and interannual variations of the tower-based GPP (GPP_{EC}), GPP simulated by VPM (GPP_{VPM}), and GPP simulated by MOD17 (GPP_{MOD17}) at 25 flux sites at
- 1067 8-day intervals (please note the different y-axis scales).
- 1068

Acce



Fig. 3. Comparison of GPP_{EC}, GPP_{VPM}, and GPP_{MOD17} across eddy covariance flux tower 1070 sites (forest, grassland, cropland, and others) during 2008 to 2014: (a) 8-day GPP_{EC} and 1071 GPP_{VPM}, (b) 8-day GPP_{EC} and GPP_{MOD17}, (c) anomaly of annual GPP_{EC} and GPP_{VPM}, and (d) 1072 1073 anomaly of annual GPP_{EC} and GPP_{MOD17}. FOR: forests, CRO: croplands, GRA: grasslands, OTH: other types. When all the sites were combined, the relationship between GPP_{VPM} and 1074 GPP_{EC} was y = 0.92 x (R² = 0.84, RMSE = 1.7 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) at the 8-day time scale, while the 1075 relationship between GPP_{MOD17} and GPP_{EC} was y = 0.68 x (R² = 0.55, RMSE = 2.6 g C m⁻² 1076 day^{-1} at the 8-day time scale. At the inter-annual scale, the relationship between the annual 1077 anomaly of GPP_{VPM} and GPP_{EC} is y = 0.73 x (R² = 0.48) while the relationship between the 1078 annual anomaly of GPP_{MOD17} and GPP_{EC} was y = 0.45 x (R² = 0.37). 1079



Fig. 4. Spatial distribution of maximum monthly mean GPP (a–d; f–i) from GPP models
(VPM, MOD17, SiB-CASA and CASA) and maximum monthly mean SIF (e, j) from
GOME-2 (e, j) in the baseline years (the average of 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013, 2014) and
drought year 2012, and spatial distributions of annual GPP (k–n; p–s) from GPP models and
annual mean SIF from GOME-2 (o, t) in the baseline years and drought year 2012.



Fig. 5. Relationships between the maximum monthly mean GPP (a–d; e–h) from GPP models (VPM, MOD17, SiBCASA and CASA) and monthly mean SIF from GOME-2 for each pixel across CONUS during the baseline years (the average of 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014) and drought year 2012, and relationship between total annual GPP (i–l; m–p) from GPP models (VPM, MOD17, SiBCASA, and CASA) and mean annual SIF from GOME-2 in the baseline year (the average of 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014) and drought year 2012 (all of the relationships are significant with p<0.001).



Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of Pearson correlation coefficient between monthly SIF and GPP
products from VPM, MOD17, SiBCASA, and CASA for baseline year (the average of 2008,
2009, 2010, 2013, and 2014) and drought year 2012, and the corresponding frequency
distribution (black and red bars) and accumulative frequency (black and red dashed lines) of
the Pearson correlation coefficient for the four models in the baseline years and 2012.

Acceb



Fig. 7. Spatial distribution of the regression slope between monthly SIF and GPP products
from VPM, MOD17, SiBCASA, and CASA for the baseline year (the average of 2008, 2009,
2010, 2013, and 2014) and drought year 2012, and the corresponding frequency distribution
(black and red bars) and accumulative frequency (black and red dashed lines) of the Pearson
correlation coefficient for the four models in the baseline years and 2012.

Acceb



Fig. 8. Spatial-temporal anomalies of GPP_{VPM} , $\text{GPP}_{\text{MOD17}}$, $\text{GPP}_{\text{SiBCASA}}$, GPP_{CASA} , and SIF during spring, summer, and annually across CONUS in 2012 relative to the baseline (2008,

1113 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014). Seasonal cycle and anomaly of total monthly GPP_{VPM},

- 1114 GPP_{MOD17}, GPP_{SiBCASA}, GPP_{CASA} and SIF in 2012 relative to the baseline. Numbers shown in
- 1115 the last row of graphs are the anomaly of total GPP in spring (March-May), summer (June-
- 1116 August), fall (September–November) and the whole year (January to December).
- 1117



1119 Fig. 9. Correlation between the anomaly of seasonal/annual GPP from GPP models (VPM,

1120 MOD17, SiBCASA, and CASA) and the anomaly of seasonal/annual mean SIF from GOME-

1121 2 across CONUS during the baseline years (the average of 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014)

- and drought year 2012 (all of the correlations are significant with p<0.001).
- 1123

Acce



Fig. 10. Seasonal cycle and anomaly of total monthly GPP_{VPM} , $\text{GPP}_{\text{SiBCASA}}$, GPP_{CASA} , and GPP_{MOD17} in (a) forest, (b) grassland, (c) cropland and (d) others. Numbers shown in the bottom panel in each row are the anomalies of total GPP for each biome in spring (March– May), summer (June–August), fall (September–November) and the whole year.



- 1133**Table 1.** Name, location, vegetation type, and available years (within 2008–2014 study period) of 25 eddy covariance flux tower sites in this1134study. RMSE, R^2 , and slope are the root mean square error, coefficient of determination, and regression slope of the regression analysis,
- respectively, between tower-derived GPP and simulated GPP from VPM and MOD17.

Site ID	J. stituda	T	ICDD	T :	s	slope		R ²	RMSE	$(g C m^{-2} d^{-1})$	References	DOI
Site ID	Latitude	Longitude	IGBP	Time	VPM	MOD17	VPM	MOD17	VPM	MOD17	- Kererences	DOI
US-Ha1	42.5378	-72.1715	DBF	2009-2012	0.93	0.66	0.93	0.74	1.32	2.89	(Urbanski et al. 2007)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246059
US-MMS	39.3232	-86.4131	DBF	2009-2014	1.07	0.85	0.91	0.71	1.58	2.33	(Schmid et al. 2000)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246080
US-Oho	41.5545	-83.8438	DBF	2009-2013	0.87	0.77	0.92	0.83	1.63	2.37	(van Gorsel et al. 2009)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246089
US-UMB	45.5598	-84.7138	DBF	2009-2014	1.09	1.20	0.96	0.93	1.15	1.87	(Gough et al. 2008)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246107
US-Umd	45.5625	-84.6975	DBF	2009-2014	0.91	0.90	0.88	0.84	1.64	1.70	(Gough et al. 2013)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246134
US-WCr	45.8059	-90.0799	DBF	2011-2014	1.25	0.84	0.90	0.80	2.46	2.03	(Cook et al. 2004)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246111
US-Me2	44.4523	-121.5574	ENF	2009-2014	0.58	0.72	0.74	0.79	2.81	2.08	(Law et al. 2004)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246076
US-Me6	44.3233	-121.6078	ENF	2010-2014	0.56	0.95	0.51	0.56	1.53	1.26	(Law et al. 2000)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246128
US-NR1	40.0329	-105.5464	ENF	2009-2014	0.84	0.91	0.86	0.84	1.04	1.03	(Monson et al. 2002)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246088
US-SRM	31.8214	-110.8661	WSA	2009-2014	0.90	0.61	0.74	-1.18	0.49	0.81	(Scott et al. 2009)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246104
US-Ton	38.4316	-120.9660	WSA	2009-2014	0.68	1.01	0.61	0.73	1.28	0.91	(Baldocchi et al. 2004)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1245971
US-AR1	36.4267	-99.4200	GRA	2009-2012	1.07	0.59	0.55	0.06	1.35	1.35	-	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246137
US-AR2	36.6358	-99.5975	GRA	2009-2012	1.08	0.62	0.43	-0.12	1.14	1.20	-	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246138
US-IB2	41.8406	-88.2410	GRA	2009-2011	1.21	0.84	0.87	0.86	2.14	1.52	(Matamala et al. 2008)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246066
US-SRG	31.7894	-110.8277	GRA	2009-2014	0.78	0.51	0.69	-0.35	0.75	1.16	(Scott et al. 2015)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246154
US-Var	38.4133	-120.9507	GRA	2009-2014	0.66	0.88	0.66	0.42	1.71	1.65	(Ma et al. 2007)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1245984
US-Wkg	31.7365	-109.9419	GRA	2009-2014	0.76	0.63	0.82	0.39	0.54	0.69	(Scott et al. 2010)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246112
US-ARM	36.6058	-97.4888	CRO	2009-2012	0.79	0.64	0.57	0.47	1.46	1.56	(Fischer et al. 2007)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246027
US-CRT	41.6285	-83.3471	CRO	2011-2013	0.68	0.45	0.78	0.64	3.00	4.23	(Chu et al. 2014)	http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246156

US-Ne1	41.1651	-96.4766	CRO	2009-2012	0.96	0.31	0.95	0.51	1.80	7.62	(Suyker et al. 2005) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246084
US-Ne2	41.1649	-96.4701	CRO	2009-2012	0.89	0.29	0.96	0.41	1.90	8.19	(Suyker et al. 2005) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246085
US-Twt	38.1087	-121.6530	CRO	2009-2014	0.87	0.53	0.43	-0.93	3.17	4.24	(Hatala et al. 2012) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246140
US-SRC	31.9083	-110.8395	OSH	2009-2014	0.97	0.99	0.32	-1.31	0.39	0.42	(Cavanaugh et al. 2011) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246127
US-Whs	31.7438	-110.0522	OSH	2009-2014	0.70	0.71	0.72	-0.28	0.45	0.56	(Scott et al. 2015) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246113
US-Myb	38.0498	-121.7651	WET	2011-2014	1.27	0.92	0.36	-0.31	3.49	2.85	(Sturtevant et al. 2016) http://dx.doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1246139
_	-										

- 1138 **Table 2.** The anomaly of total GPP between 2012 and the baseline (the average of 2008,
- 1139 2009, 2010, 2013 and 2014) in spring (March-May), summer (June-August), fall
- 1140 (September–November) and the whole year. The uncertainty range of the anomaly was
- 1141 calculated as the standard deviation (SD) of the anomaly between 2012 and different
- baselines. We randomly chose at least three years from the year 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and
- 1143 2014 to calculate the baseline, so there are 16 options $(C_5^3 + C_5^4 + C_5^5)$.

Anomaly of GPP (Pg C)	VPM	MODIS	CASA	SiBCASA	Anomaly of SIF (mW m ⁻² nm ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹)
Spring	0.41 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.03	0.48 ± 0.05	0.25 ± 0.03	0.31 ± 0.05
Summer	$\textbf{-0.27} \pm 0.05$	$\textbf{-0.42} \pm 0.02$	$\textbf{-0.26} \pm 0.06$	$\textbf{-0.21} \pm 0.04$	$\textbf{-0.28} \pm 0.05$
Annual	0.11 ± 0.08	$\textbf{-0.12} \pm 0.02$	0.18 ± 0.10	0.01 ± 0.08	$\textbf{-0.12} \pm 0.10$

İ.

Acce

- 1146 **Table 3.** The anomaly of total GPP estimates from VPM, MOD17, SiBCASA and CASA for
- different biomes between 2012 and the baseline (the average of 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013 and
- 1148 2014) in spring (March–May), summer (June–August), fall (September–November) and the
- 1149 whole year.

Anomaly of	GPP (Pg C)	Spring	Summer	Fall	Annual
	Forest	0.07	-0.01	0.00	0.07
VDM	Grassland	0.10	-0.15	-0.04	-0.07
V PIVI	Cropland	0.09	-0.07	-0.05	-0.02
	Others	0.13	-0.04	0.00	0.11
	Forest	0.04	-0.05	-0.01	0.00
MOD17	Grassland	0.07	-0.14	-0.04	-0.10
MODI7	Cropland	0.08	-0.15	-0.04	-0.10
	Others	0.08	-0.11	-0.01	0.00
	Forest	0.04	-0.01	-0.01	0.03
SIDCASA	Grassland	0.06	-0.06	-0.04	-0.03
SIBCASA	Cropland	0.08	-0.10	-0.04	-0.05
	Others	0.07	-0.04	-0.02	0.05
	Forest	0.07	0.00	-0.01	0.08
C + C +	Grassland	0.11	-0.15	-0.04	-0.07
CASA	Cropland	0.13	-0.06	-0.04	0.03
	Others	0.15	-0.04	-0.01	0.13

¹¹⁵⁰

- 1151 *Forest including: evergreen needleleaf forest, evergreen broadleaf forest, deciduous
- 1152 broadleaf forest, deciduous needleleaf forest, mixed forest; Grassland: grassland; Cropland:
- 1153 cropland; Others: closed shrublands, open shrublands, savannahs, woody savannahs,
- 1154 permanent wetlands, cropland/natural vegetation mosaics.
- 1155